FBI Denies Bobby Baker Evidence Was Obtained Through Wiretapping By John P. McKenzie | mer Senate Majority Secre-

Washington Post Staff Writer

mally denied yesterday that home, law office, rented the indictment against Robert apartment "or any of the vari-G. (Bobby) Baker was based on evidence or leads obtained from electronic eavesdropping or "any improper source."

All the evidence behind the

mer Senate Majority Secre-Washington Post Staff Writer tary, the Department said the Justice Department for the FBI never bugged Baker's

All the evidence behind the Replying to "massive wire-indictment for theft, conspir-tapping" charges by the for-"legally obtained from inde-pendent third-party sources," the Department said in opposing Baker's motion to suppress evidence.

In a 103-page response to Baker's pretrial motions, the Government did not deny that agents placed listening devices in six Las Vegas hotels and gambling casinos or that Baker may have been overheard in bugged conversations there.

Nor did the response, filed with District Court Judge ator with Baker and as de-Oliver Gasch, mention the confessed FBI bugging of the indictment. Sheraton-Carlton Hotel suite

dropped at the home or of- Government attorneys Wilfices of Clifford Jones, former liam O. Bittman, Donald Page lieutenant governor of Nevada Moore and Austin S. Mittler who is named as a co-conspir- See BAKER, A13, Col. 6



ROBERT G. BAKER . . , his charges denied

Defense charges involving Sheraton-Carlton Hotel suite of Fred B. Black Jr., former Baker business associate. Eavesdropping incidents in Las Vegas and in Washington are the subjects of a Nevada lawsuit and motions in the U.S. Supreme Court. U.S. Supreme Court.
Denials also were entered to charges that agents eaves-

agreed with Taft that Baker is entitled to a hearing on the motion. Judge Gasch already had scheduled a hearing in mid-November and a trial in January.

"Prior to this hearing," the prosecutors added, "the Government will submit to the Court for its inspection all appropriate materials to assist the Court in its consideration of this motion."

Defense attorneys in the law offices of Edward Bennett Williams had not received the court papers when reached by telephone late yesterday.

Also opposed by the prosecutors were Baker motions to produce electronic eavesdropping equipment and grand jury minutes to dismiss or sever counts in the indictment, and to dismiss the entire indictment on grounds of prejudicial publicity.

Levinson Case Cited

Baker claimed that he was a victim of eavesdropping along with Edward Levinson, a Nevada gambling figure, and others who have filed a civil suit seeking damages from the FBI and the Central Telephone Co. He said the Nevada bugging led him to suspect a similar government operation in Washington.

The Government has been directed to answer the Levinson suit. More far-reaching answers are expected soon when Solicitor General Thurgood Marshall answers the Su-preme Court's request for details in the Black affair.

Black has asked the Court to reconsider its refusal to review his tax evasion conviction, which carries a 15-month jail term and \$10,000 fine. He told the Court he was engulfed in the same wiretapping net that Baker complained about.

The justices, alerted to the eavesdropping by a memorandum from Marshall, want to know what kind of device was used in the Black case, who authorized it and on what authority, when the bug was planted, which Government lawyers learned about it and when, whether any record ex-

THE WASHINGTON POST Saturday, June 25, 1966

ists of overheard conversa-tions, and what use was made of it in Black's prosecution. The Baker, Black and Levin-over responsibility for the son cases, all linked circum- work of FBI agents.